

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,141	. 11/03/2003	Vyshislav Ivanov	3769-019 CON	3725
	7590 07/09/200 ACKMAN & REISMA	EXAMINER		
270 MADISON		MCGRAW, TREVOR EDWIN		
8TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 100160601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
				DEL MEDITA (ODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•		•	07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1		Annlinatio	- No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication app		Applicatio	•					
		10/700,14	1	IVANOV ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Trevor McC		3752	d****			
Period for Reply	NE of this communication app	oears on the	cover sneet with the (correspondence ad	uress			
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be ave after SIX (6) MONTHS from th - If NO period for reply is specification. - Failure to reply within the set of	JTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER, FROM THE MAILING DATE IN THE MAILING DA	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no ever will apply and will e, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATIO nt, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on 19 A	<i>pril 2007</i> .						
2a) This action is FIN	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
closed in accorda	ance with the practice under E	=х рапе Qua	<i>iyle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 U.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) is 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>61-67</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s) is	/are rejected.	<u>60</u> is/are wit	thdrawn from conside	eration.				
Application Papers								
10) The drawing(s) file Applicant may not	is objected to by the Examine ed on is/are: a) accrequest that any objection to the ing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b)[drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	R 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pa 3) Information Disclosure Star Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/2	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

Application/Control Number: 10/700,141

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 61-67 in the reply filed on 04/19/2007 is acknowledged.

Claims 30-32, 44-46 and 48-60 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 04/19/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 61-64, 66 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kochenour (US 4,090,668).

In regard to Claims 61-64, 66 and 67, Kochenour (4,090,668) teaches an apparatus for cleaning and deicing a vehicle window where the apparatus comprises a reservoir (30) that contains a washing fluid, a vessel (50 or 52) having and inlet (60) through which the washing fluid is received from the reservoir and an outlet (62) through which the fluid is discharged where at least one spray head (80) is in fluid

communication with the outlet through which the fluid is sprayed onto at least one vehicle window (Column 4, lines 22-37) and at least one windshield wiper (12, 22) for wiping the window where the windshield wiper actuation system includes a motor (20; Column 3, lines 27-32) that actuates the windshield wiper (12, 22) and a controller (110; Column 4, line 67-Column 5, line 10) to control at least one of the spray heads (80) and the windshield wiper (12, 22) based on the torque of the motor (20; Column 3, lines 27-32; motor controls the wiper blade sweep motion). The apparatus of Kockenour also teaches where the windshield wiper (12, 22) is operative to wipe the window between two limits of travel where the traveling sweeping motion of wiper blades controlled by the motor for back and forth motion in both a clockwise and counterclockwise direction and the controller is operative to change the direction of at least one windshield wiper without reaching at least one of the two limits of travel by switching the speed at which the motor actuates the windshield wipers where the controller further is capable of synchronizing operation of at least one spray head (80) with the movement of at least one windshield wiper (12, 22; See Figure 2 where the spray heads are in the windshield wiper and cooperate with one another when the wiper is motioned in the sweeping clockwise and counterclockwise movements). The apparatus of Kockenour further teaches where the reservoir (30) has a heating element (104) that is disposed in the vessel (50 or 52) for heating the fluid in the vessel (50 or 52).

Claims 61-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Franco et al. (US 6,164,564).

Application/Control Number: 10/700,141

Art Unit: 3752

In regard to Claims 61-67, Franco et al. (6,164,564) teaches an apparatus for cleaning and deicing a vehicle window where the apparatus comprises a reservoir (30) that contains a washing fluid, a vessel (28) having and inlet (34) through which the washing fluid is received from the reservoir (30) and an outlet (36) through which the fluid is discharged where at least one spray head (360) is in fluid communication with the outlet (36) through which the fluid is sprayed onto at least one vehicle window (24) and at least one windshield wiper (402, 404) for wiping the window (24) where the windshield wiper actuation system includes a motor (406) that actuates the windshield wiper (402,404) and a controller (46 or 422) to control at least one of the spray heads (360) and the windshield wiper (402, 404) based on the torque of the motor (406; motor controls the wiper blade sweep motion). The apparatus of Franco et al. also teaches where the windshield wiper (402, 404) is operative to wipe the window between two limits of travel where the traveling sweeping motion of wiper blades controlled by the motor for back and forth motion in both a clockwise and counterclockwise direction (434, 436) and the controller is operative to change the direction of at least one windshield wiper without reaching at least one of the two limits of travel by switching the speed at which the motor actuates the windshield wipers where the controller further is capable of synchronizing operation of at least one spray head (360) with the movement of at least one windshield wiper (402, 404; See Figure 9 where the spray heads are in the windshield wiper and cooperate with one another when the wiper is motioned in the sweeping clockwise and counterclockwise movements). The apparatus of Franco et al. further teaches where the windshield wiper is placeable in a summer parking mode and

a winter parking mode, when in the summer parking mode the wiper is at rest generally at one of the limits of travel, and when in the winter parking mode the wiper is between the limits of travel (Column 13, Line 61-column 14, Line 36). Franco et al. additionally teaches a reservoir (30) has a heating element (50,306) that is disposed in the vessel (28) for heating the fluid in the vessel (28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 65 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kochenour (US 4,090,668) in view of Franco et al. (US 6,164,564).

In regard to Claim 65, Kochenour as taught and described above fails to teach where the windshield wiper is placeable in a summer parking mode and a winter parking mode, when in the summer parking mode the wiper is at rest generally at one of the limits of travel, and when in the winter parking mode the wiper is between the limits of travel. However, Franco et al. (US 6,164,564) as taught and described above further teaches where the windshield wiper is placeable in a summer parking mode and a winter parking mode, when in the summer parking mode the wiper is at rest generally at one of the limits of travel, and when in the winter parking mode the wiper is between the

limits of travel. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to provide the windshield wiper washing and deicing system of Kochenour with the summer and winter wiper parking modes of Franco et al. in order to provide for a manner in which the wiper blades can be selectively positioned higher within the two limits of travel to operate faster in the winter time to prevent ice build up and to create less strain on the motor.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 61-67 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,164,564.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from

Application/Control Number: 10/700,141

Art Unit: 3752

each other for at least the following reasons the Claims of the present invention (61-67) disclose the limitations of Claims 1-13 of US 6,164,564 where the overlapping limitations include a windshield wiper deicing system that has a motor, nozzle spray head for spraying a fluid, a heating element, a vessel, a reservoir, summer and winter parking modes, two limits of travel, a controller to change the direction of at least one windshield wiper without reaching at least one of the two limits of travel and controlled synchronization operation of the spray heads and windshield wipers. Granting of any of these limitations in combination or subcombination would permit an improper extension of the patent term granted on the US 6,164,564 patent.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lee (US 5,857,624), Raghu (US 5,820,026), Walker (US 6113006), Lansinger (US 5,957,384).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trevor McGraw whose telephone number is (571) 272-7375. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (2nd & 4th Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trevor MeGraw Art-Unit 3752

TEM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
VECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700